

# EXHIBIT 22

# FILED UNDER SEAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

NETLIST, INC., ( CAUSE NO. 2:21-CV-463-JRG  
)  
Plaintiff, ( )  
vs. ( )  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., ( )  
et al., ( ) MARSHALL, TEXAS  
( MARCH 28, 2023  
Defendants. ) 9:00 A.M.

---

VOLUME 1

---

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE

---

SHAWN McROBERTS, RMR, CRR  
100 E. HOUSTON STREET  
MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670  
(903) 923-8546  
shawn\_mcroberts@txed.uscourts.gov

1 Samsung's DDR4 load-reduced dual in-line memory modules,  
2 LRDIMMs. This is their infringement contentions.

3 After identifying specific models, they say, well, wait a  
4 minute, that's not everything. Just to be clear, our DDR4  
5 patents are asserted against any other Samsung DDR4 LRDIMM  
6 products made, sold, offered for sale, so forth, that are  
7 JEDEC standard compliant memory modules.

8 So they are making JEDEC standard compliance an issue in  
9 this case. And if they are able to prove infringement, it has  
10 to be conformed to the theories they disclose in their  
11 infringement contentions. Otherwise, they're outside the  
12 bounds of what they provided notice for throughout this case.

13 So they are stuck with this theory, Your Honor. Both in  
14 their infringement contentions and through their expert  
15 reports, they have repeatedly pointed to JEDEC compliance in  
16 their -- with respect to their accusations.

17 These are their infringement contentions with respect to  
18 the '506 and '339 Patent. They identify specific JEDEC  
19 standards. In fact, the very first element for the '506 1[i]  
20 says, a first data buffer, and they're citing JEDEC standards.  
21 The '339 Patent, they cite another JEDEC standard, JESD82-31A.

22 So Mr. Halbert took that, took them at their word, looked  
23 at their infringement contentions, and said, okay, I'm going  
24 to look at first DDR4 LRDIMM standards, I'm going to look at  
25 DDR5 standards, and I'm going to look at the specific

1 which is identified as paragraphs 289 through 305 of Mr.  
2 Meyer's rebuttal report, I'm going to grant the motion and  
3 strike those paragraphs. I think they do fall within the  
4 purview of *Apple versus Wi-Lan* and they are probably not  
5 reliable in light of that and other precedent.

6 With regard to the references to RAND, I'm going to grant  
7 that portion of the motion as we've established beyond doubt  
8 today there are no standard essential patents at issue in this  
9 case. Therefore, any mention of a RAND contractual obligation  
10 would violate Rule 403 and be highly confusing and prejudicial  
11 with limited, if any, probative value.

12 With reference to the NIAs, I'm going to deny that  
13 portion of the motion.

14 With regard to the references to other litigation and  
15 IPRs, consistent with the Court's earlier discussed practices,  
16 I'm going to grant that. Again, I think that's mandated by a  
17 fair application of Rule 403 where there's considerable risk  
18 of prejudice and confusion and limited probative value.

19 With regard to the references to the alleged JEDEC  
20 contributions, I'm going to deny that portion of the motion.  
21 I believe this witness is entitled to respond to Mr. Kennedy's  
22 opinions. Particularly in that regard, I don't find anything  
23 in this section of his report that cannot fairly be addressed  
24 by Plaintiff through cross-examination and rises to the level  
25 of needing to be excluded.

1 I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A  
2 CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF  
3 PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.  
4 I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE TRANSCRIPT FEES  
5 FORMAT COMPLY WITH THOSE PRESCRIBED BY THE  
6 COURT AND THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE  
7 UNITED STATES.

8  
9 S/Shawn McRoberts 04/02/2023

10 \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
11 SHAWN McROBERTS, RMR, CRR  
12 FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Shawn M. McRoberts, RMR, CRR  
Federal Official Court Reporter